

quick overview!

$P \Rightarrow Q$

Statement

$\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$

inverse

$Q \Rightarrow P$

converse

$\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$

contrapositive



• \mathbb{N} includes 0 in this class.

• just knowing $P \Rightarrow Q$ says nothing about inverse & converse.

Implications - a promise for Q if P. if P didn't happen, promise is still kept ;)

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

 \equiv if P true, Q true \equiv if Q false, P false. \leftarrow contrapositive

\Leftrightarrow - need to prove both $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$.

Quantifiers

for all \forall = "big and" ; there exists \exists = "big or"

Negation

$\neg (\forall x P(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg (P(x))$ \rightarrow "if its not true that all x are such, there must be some x that isn't such"

$\neg (\exists x P(x)) \equiv \forall x \neg (P(x))$

negate from outside to in (use flipped quantifiers & DeMorgans)



key takeaways and observations

- $x, y \in \mathbb{Z} : x \pm y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z}$, not closed under division
- when working with quantifiers / negating, converting implications to $\neg P \vee Q$ might be easier — try it!
- try approaches other than truth table when quantifiers (\forall, \exists) involved
- think of 2D space when propositions are of form $Q(x, y)$, etc.
- quantifier order matters if they are different

thanks for coming! please fill out the check-in **today** and feedback form when you want to.

- check-in: <https://forms.gle/fKotx2ad4YZLmdb17>
- feedback: <https://tinyurl.com/aishani-sp21-fb>